Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	08/484,786	MACH ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jehanne Souaya Sitton	1634
All Participants:	pants: Status of Application: <u>After final rejection</u>	
(1) <u>Jehanne Souaya Sitton</u> .	(3)	
(2) Shawn-Marie Mayrand.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>19 April 2004</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicate Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 112/first paragraph, Written Description		
Claims discussed: 103, 105		
Prior art documents discussed: NA		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
	laure Sitt	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	'Applicant's Representative Sig	nature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner indicated that upon further review, the claims should be amended to recite nucleic acid sequences from the regions encoding each peptide so as to distinguish a nucleic acid sequence which encoded a region I peptide from a nucleic acid sequence which encoded a region II peptide or a region III peptide, for example. The examiner indicated that the specific regions I, II, and III are set forth in figure 9 as well as pages 7 and 32 of the specification. Applicants representative authorized the changes set forth in the attached examiner's amendment.